

# Functional Interpretation and Modified Realizability Interpretation of the Double-Negation Shift

Philipp Gerhardy



Department of Computer Science

University of Aarhus

# Introduction

---

The purpose of the general program of proof mining is to develop techniques to extract additional information from proofs in mathematics and computer science.

Motivation and inspiration:

- Kreisel's program: G. Kreisel and others, several case studies, 1950s and onward.
- Analysing proofs in analysis: U.Kohlenbach and others, since 1990s, metatheorems and case studies.

# Introduction

---

Main techniques of proof mining: Gödel's functional interpretation and Kreisel's modified realizability interpretation.

Analysing (ineffective) proofs in analysis (Peano arithmetic + dependent choice): Howard-Bezem majorizability  $\Rightarrow$  monotone proof interpretations

Important step: computational interpretation of the double-negation shift (short: DNS) to interpret negative translation of dependent choice.

# Introduction

---

- Spector(1962): Functional interpretation of DNS using so-called (Spector) bar-recursion, SBR (recursion over well-founded trees).
- Bezem(1985): Not only continuous functionals, but also strongly majorizable functionals are a model of (Spector) bar-recursion.
- Berardi, Bezem and Coquand(1998), later also Berger and Oliva(2002): modified realizability interpretation of double-negation shift using so-called modified bar-recursion, MBR.

# Introduction

---

Aim of this talk:

- Investigate interpretations of finite versions of DNS and their respective prim. recursive interpretations.
- Understand intuition behind interpretations of DNS.
- Understand (and alleviate?) differences between functional interpretation and mr-interpretation of DNS (MBR is strictly stronger than SBR).
- Investigate if there is an mr-interpretation of DNS using only Spector's bar-recursion.

# Overview

---

- Introduction
- **Functional Interpretation of DNS**
- Modified Realizability and DNS

# Functional Interpretation of DNS

The double-negation shift, DNS, is the principle:

$$\forall x \neg \neg P(x) \rightarrow \neg \neg \forall x P(x),$$

for arbitrary formulas  $P$ .

For functional interpretation one needs to solve:

$$x = Y(C), \quad A(x, B) = C(Y(C)), \quad B(A(x, B)) = D(C),$$

i.e. give  $x, B, C$  in parameters  $Y, A, D$ .

Spector solved these equations using bar-recursion and with the condition  $\forall C \exists n (Y(\overline{C}, n) < n)$ .

# Functional Interpretation of DNS

As finite version of the double-negation shift is

$$\forall k(\forall x \leq k \neg\neg P(x) \rightarrow \neg\neg\forall x \leq k P(x)).$$

This can be derived by induction from the intuitionistic principle

$$(\neg\neg P_0 \wedge \neg\neg P_1) \rightarrow \neg\neg(P_0 \wedge P_1)$$

and hence has a *primitive* recursive interpretation.

# Functional Interpretation of DNS

---

What is the relationship between interpretations of this principle and interpretations of the full double-negation shift?

Interpretation of finite DNS leads to equations

$$A_0(B_0) = C_0, \quad B_0(A_0(B_0)) = D_0(C_0, C_1),$$

$$A_1(B_1) = C_1, \quad B_1(A_1(B_1)) = D_1(C_0, C_1),$$

which can be solved primitive recursively.

# Functional Interpretation of DNS

From a proof of  $(\neg\neg P_0 \wedge \neg\neg P_1) \rightarrow \neg\neg(P_0 \wedge P_1)$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\frac{\frac{\neg(P_0 \wedge P_1)^3}{\perp} \rightarrow I(1) \quad \frac{\frac{P_0^1 \quad P_1^2}{P_0 \wedge P_1} \wedge I}{\rightarrow E}}{\neg P_0} \quad \frac{\frac{\neg\neg P_0 \wedge \neg\neg P_1^4}{\neg\neg P_0} \wedge E}{\rightarrow E}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\neg\neg P_0 \wedge \neg\neg P_1^4}{\neg\neg P_1} \wedge E}{\perp} \rightarrow I(2)}{\neg P_1} \rightarrow E} \rightarrow E \\
 \frac{\frac{\frac{\perp}{\neg\neg(P_0 \wedge P_1)} \rightarrow I(3)}{(\neg\neg P_0 \wedge \neg\neg P_1) \rightarrow \neg\neg(P_0 \wedge P_1)} \rightarrow I(4)}{\perp} \rightarrow I(4)
 \end{array}$$

one can extract a functional interpretation of finite DNS.

# Functional Interpretation of DNS

---

Observations:

- In the finite case, the functional interpretation obtained from this proof of

$$(\neg\neg P_0 \wedge \neg\neg P_1) \rightarrow \neg\neg(P_0 \wedge P_1)$$

and Spector's bar-recursive solution coincide.

- Spector's functional interpretation of DNS can be viewed as infinitary version of this interpretation of finite DNS.

# Overview

---

- Introduction
- Functional Interpretation of DNS
- **Modified Realizability and DNS**

# Modified Realizability and DNS

We may write DNS as follows:

$$\forall x((P(x) \rightarrow \perp) \rightarrow \perp) \rightarrow (\forall x P(x) \rightarrow \perp) \rightarrow \perp.$$

Modified realizability asks for a realizer for  $\perp$  in:

$$\begin{array}{l} G \quad mr \quad \forall x((P(x) \rightarrow \perp) \rightarrow \perp) \\ Y \quad mr \quad \forall x P(x) \rightarrow \perp. \end{array}$$

Note: There can be no realizer for  $\perp$ , so we mean here actually a realizer for  $A$ , after applying  $A$ -translation.

# Modified Realizability and DNS

---

The modified realizability interpretation of DNS uses so-called modified bar-recursion, which is strictly stronger than Spector's variant of bar-recursion.

As modified bar-recursion is not effectively majorizable and depends on a continuity principle for  $Y$ , it cannot be used to extract effective bounds from ineffective proofs in analysis.

# Modified Realizability and DNS

For finite DNS input is:

$$G_0 \quad mr \quad (P_0 \rightarrow \perp) \rightarrow \perp,$$

$$G_1 \quad mr \quad (P_1 \rightarrow \perp) \rightarrow \perp,$$

$$Y \quad mr \quad (P_0 \wedge P_1) \rightarrow \perp.$$

From the above proof of finite DNS, one obtains the following realizer:

$$G_1(\lambda x_1. G_0(\lambda x_0. Y(x_0, x_1))).$$

However, the extension of this idea to the infinite setting requires a form of bar-recursion even stronger than MBR and not even ineffectively majorizable.



# Future work

---

Future work/open questions:

- Is there an explanation for the differences between functional interpretation and modified realizability interpretation of DNS?
- Why does - for interpretation the simple proof of finite DNS - the extension to the infinite case lead to such different interpretations of full DNS.
- Is there a modified realizability interpretation of DNS (and a corresponding proof of finite DNS) using only Spector's bar-recursion?