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Lemma (II.2.1.2.)

1. Assume a grammar $G$ which has only productions of the form

   $$A \rightarrow Bw \text{ or } A \rightarrow w$$

   for some $w \in T^*$, $A, B \in N$. Then $L(G) = L(G')$ for some left-linear grammar $G'$, which can be computed from $G$.

2. Assume a grammar $G$ which has only productions of the form

   $$A \rightarrow wB \text{ or } A \rightarrow w$$

   for some $w \in T^*$, $A, B \in N$. Then $L(G) = L(G')$ for some right-linear grammar $G'$, which can be computed from $G$. 
Proof of Lemma II.2.1.2.

In a first step we omit all transitions $A \rightarrow B$ for $A, B \in N$:

Let $G = (N, T, S, P)$ be a grammar having such transitions. We form a grammar $G'$ having no such transitions as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>grammar</th>
<th>$G'$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>terminals</td>
<td>$N$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nonterminals</td>
<td>$T$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>start symbol</td>
<td>$S$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>productions</td>
<td>$A \rightarrow w$ if $A \Rightarrow^<em>_G A' \rightarrow w$ for some $A, A' \in N$, $w \in T^</em>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$A \rightarrow wB$ if $A \Rightarrow^<em>_G A' \rightarrow wB$ for some $A, A', B \in N$, $w \in T^</em>$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Proof

So in $G'$ we just jump over all silent transitions $A \rightarrow B$ in $G$. We can in fact decide whether $A \Rightarrow^* A'$, since such a derivation must have the form $A = A'$ or $A = A_1 \Rightarrow A_2 \Rightarrow \cdots \Rightarrow A_n = A$ for some $A_i \in N$. And if such derivation exists then a derivation exists in which all $A_i$ are distinct (omit loops).

Therefore $n$ can be restricted to the number of elements in $N$, and therefore there are only finitely many possible derivations, which we can enumerate. For each of them we can check whether it is in fact a derivation, and therefore determine all possible derivations $A \Rightarrow^* A'$. 
Proof

Now one can easily see that for $w \in T^*$

$$S \Rightarrow^*_G w \text{ iff } S \Rightarrow^*_G w$$
End of Proof of II.2.1.2.

We have now obtained a grammar which doesn’t contain silent productions of the form $A \rightarrow B$ for nonterminals $A$, $B$.

The following lemma shows that such languages are definable by left-linear or right-linear grammars.
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Proof of Lemma II.2.1.3.

Lemma (II.2.1.3.)

1. Assume a grammar $G$ which has only productions of the form

$$A \rightarrow Bw \text{ or } A \rightarrow w'$$

for some $w \in T^+$, $w' \in T^*$, $A, B \in N$. Then $L(G) = L(G')$ for some left-linear grammar $G'$, and $G'$ can effectively computed from $G$.

2. Assume a grammar $G$ which has only productions of the form

$$A \rightarrow wB \text{ or } A \rightarrow w'$$

for some $w \in T^+$, $w' \in T^*$, $A, B \in N$. Then $L(G) = L(G')$ for some right-linear grammar $G'$, and $G'$ can effectively computed from $G$. 
Proof of Lemma II.2.1.3.

- In (2) replace
  - Productions $A \rightarrow a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n B$ with $n \geq 2$ by $A \rightarrow a_1 A_1$, $A_1 \rightarrow a_2 A_2$, $\ldots$, $A_{n-1} \rightarrow a_n B$ for some new nonterminals $A_i$.
  - Productions $A \rightarrow a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ with $n \geq 2$ by $A \rightarrow a_1 A_1$, $A_1 \rightarrow a_2 A_2$, $\ldots$, $A_{n-1} \rightarrow a_n$ for some new nonterminals $A_i$.

- (1) is proved similarly.
Derivations in Regular Grammars

Theorem

(a) Let $G = (N, T, S, P)$ be a left-linear grammar, $A \in N$, $w \in (N \cup T)^*$, $A \Rightarrow^* w$. Then the derivation of $A \Rightarrow^* w$ is

$$A \Rightarrow A_1a_1 \Rightarrow A_2a_2a_1 \Rightarrow \cdots \Rightarrow A_na_n \cdots a_2a_1 = w \quad (1)$$

or

$$A \Rightarrow A_1a_1 \Rightarrow A_2a_2a_1 \Rightarrow \cdots \Rightarrow A_na_n \cdots a_2a_1$$

$$\Rightarrow a_{n+1}a_n \cdots a_2a_1 = w \quad (2)$$

or

$$A \Rightarrow A_1a_1 \Rightarrow A_2a_2a_1 \Rightarrow \cdots \Rightarrow A_na_n \cdots a_2a_1$$

$$\Rightarrow a_n \cdots a_2a_1 = w \quad (3)$$

for productions

- $A_i \rightarrow A_{i+1}a_{i+1}$ (in (1) – (3)),
- $A_n \rightarrow a_{n+1}$ (in (2))
- $A_n \rightarrow \epsilon$ (in (3))
Derivations in Regular Grammars

Theorem

(b) Let $G = (N, T, S, P)$ be a right-linear grammar, $A \in N$, $w \in (N \cup T)^*$, $A \Rightarrow^* w$.

Then the derivation of $A \Rightarrow^* w$ is

$$A \Rightarrow a_1 A_1 \Rightarrow a_1 a_2 A_2 \Rightarrow \cdots \Rightarrow a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n A_n = w$$  \text{(1)}

or $$A \Rightarrow a_1 A_1 \Rightarrow a_1 a_2 A_2 \Rightarrow \cdots \Rightarrow a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n A_n$$

$$\Rightarrow a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n a_{n+1} = w$$  \text{(2)}

or $$A \Rightarrow a_1 A_1 \Rightarrow a_1 a_2 A_2 \Rightarrow \cdots \Rightarrow a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n A_n$$

$$\Rightarrow a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n = w$$  \text{(3)}

for productions

- $A_i \rightarrow a_{i+1} A_{i+1}$ (in (1) - (3))
- $A_n \rightarrow a_{n+1}$ (in (2))
- $A_n \rightarrow \epsilon$ (in (3)).
Proof

The above are the only derivations possible.
II.2.1. Regular Languages (12.2)

II.2.2. Regular Expressions (13.8)
Proof of Lemma II.2.2.1.

**Lemma (II.2.2.1.)**

Let $G$, $G'$ be both left-linear grammars or both right-linear grammars. Then we can define a left-linear or right-linear grammars $G_i$ s.t.

1. $L(G_1) = L(G) | L(G')$,
2. $L(G_2) = L(G).L(G')$,
3. $L(G_3) = L(G)^*$.

These grammars can be computed from $G$ and $G'$. 
Proof of Lemma II.2.2.1.

Assume in 1./2./3.

\[ G = (T, N, S, P), \quad G' = (T', N', S', P') . \]

After renaming of nonterminals we can assume \( N \cap N' = \emptyset \).
Let \( S'' \) be a new symbol not in \( N \cup N' \cup T \cup T' \).
We define multi-step left/right-linear grammars with those properties, from which one can construct ordinary (one-step) left/right-linear grammars with those properties.
We only carry out the proof for right-linear grammars.
Proof of 1.

We define $G_1$ as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>grammar</th>
<th>$G_1$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>terminals</td>
<td>$T \cup T'$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nonterminals</td>
<td>$N \cup N' \cup {S''}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>start symbol</td>
<td>$S''$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>productions</td>
<td>$S'' \rightarrow S$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$S'' \rightarrow S'$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P'$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Proof of 1.

So \( G_1 \) has the productions from \( G \) and \( G' \) plus

\[
S'' \rightarrow S \text{ and } S'' \rightarrow S'.
\]

Derivations in \( G_1 \) have the form

\[
S'' \Rightarrow S \Rightarrow^* w
\]

and

\[
S'' \Rightarrow S' \Rightarrow^* w'
\]

for derivations

\[
S \Rightarrow_G^* w
\]

and

\[
S' \Rightarrow_{G'}^* w'
\]

So for \( w'' \in (T \cup T')^* \) we have

\( S'' \Rightarrow_{G_1}^* w'' \) iff \( S \Rightarrow_G^* w'' \) or \( S' \Rightarrow_{G'}^* w'' \),

so \( L(G'') = L(G) \cup L(G') \).
Proof of 2.

We define $G_2$ as follows:

| grammar     | $G_2$ |
| terminals   | $T \cup T'$ |
| nonterminals | $N \cup N'$ |
| start symbol | $S$ |
| productions | $A \rightarrow aA'$ for $A \rightarrow aA' \in P$ ($A, A' \in N, a \in T$)  
$A \rightarrow aS'$ for $A \rightarrow a \in P$ ($A \in N, a \in T$)  
$P'$ |
Proof of 2.

So $G_2$ has

- the productions from $G'$,
- the productions of the form $A \rightarrow aA$ from $G$ and
- productions $A \rightarrow aS'$, if $A \rightarrow a$ is a production from $G$.

A derivation in $G_2$ starts with a derivation

$$S \Rightarrow a_1 A_1 \Rightarrow a_1 a_2 A_2 \Rightarrow a_1 a_2 a_3 A_3 \Rightarrow \cdots \Rightarrow a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-1} A_{n-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n S'$$

for derivations in $G$ of the form

$$S \Rightarrow a_1 A_1 \Rightarrow a_1 a_2 A_2 \Rightarrow a_1 a_2 a_3 A_3 \Rightarrow \cdots \Rightarrow a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-1} A_{n-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n .$$
Proof of 2.

Then this is followed by a derivation

\[ a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n S' \Rightarrow a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n b_1 B_1 \Rightarrow a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n b_1 b_2 B_2 \Rightarrow \cdots \]
\[ \Rightarrow a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n b_1 b_2 \cdots b_{m-1} B_{m-1} \Rightarrow a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n b_1 b_2 \cdots b_m , \]

for a derivation in \( G' \) of the form

\[ S' \Rightarrow b_1 B_1 \Rightarrow b_1 b_2 B_2 \Rightarrow \cdots \]
\[ \Rightarrow b_1 b_2 \cdots b_{m-1} B_{m-1} \Rightarrow b_1 b_2 \cdots b_m \]

Therefore \( S \Rightarrow_{G_2}^* w \) for some \( w \in (T \cup T')^* \) if and only if \( S \Rightarrow_{G_1}^* w' \) and \( S' \Rightarrow_{G_2}^* w'' \) for some \( w', w'' \) s.t. \( w = ww'' \).

So \( L(G_2) = L(G) \cdot L(G') \).
Proof of 3.

We define $G_3$ as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>grammar</th>
<th>$G_3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>terminals</td>
<td>$T$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nonterminals</td>
<td>$N$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>start symbol</td>
<td>$S$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>productions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$S \rightarrow \epsilon,$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$A \rightarrow aA'$ for $A \rightarrow aA' \in P$ ($A, A' \in N, a \in T$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$A \rightarrow aS$ for $A \rightarrow a \in P$ ($A \in N, a \in T$)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Proof of 3.

Derivations in $G_3$ are $S \Rightarrow \epsilon$ or they start similarly as for concatenation with

$$S \Rightarrow^* wS$$

for a derivation in $G$

$$S \Rightarrow^* w$$

and $w \in N^+$. In the latter case it can continue either (using $S \longrightarrow \epsilon$) with $wS \Rightarrow w$ or with

$$wS \Rightarrow^* ww'S$$

for a derivation in $G$

$$S \Rightarrow^* w'$$

Again in the latter case we can continue (using $S \longrightarrow \epsilon$) with $ww'S \rightarrow ww'$ or with

$$ww'S \Rightarrow^* ww'w''S$$

for a derivation in $G$

$$S \Rightarrow^* w''$$
Proof of 3.

We obtain that in $G_3$ we have

$$S \Rightarrow^* w$$

if there exist derivations in $G$ of

- $S \Rightarrow^* w_1$
- $S \Rightarrow^* w_2$
- ...$
- S \Rightarrow^* w_n$

s.t. $w = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n$. So we get

$$L(G_3) = \left\{ w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n \mid n \geq 0, w_1, \ldots, w_n \in L(G) \right\} = L(G)^*$$
Proof of Lemma II.2.2.2.

**Lemma (II.2.2.2.)**

Let $E$ be a regular Expression. Then there exist both left-linear and right-linear grammars $G$, $G'$ s.t.

$$L(E) = L(G) = L(G')$$

$G$ and $G'$ can be computed from $L$.

Proof: By Lemma II.2.2.1, and the fact that the finite languages $\emptyset, \{\epsilon\}$ and $\{a\}$ are regular.
Proof of Lemma II.2.2.2.

Induction on the definition of regular expressions.

**Case 1:** \( L = \emptyset, \epsilon, a \) (where \( a \in T \)). Then \( L \) is finite, therefore definable by a left/right-linear grammar.

**Case 2:** \( L = (L_1) \mid (L_2) \) or \( L = (L_1)(L_2) \) or \( L = (L_1)^* \). By IH \( L_i \) are defined by left/right-linear grammars \( G_i \). By Lemma II.2.2.1. it follows that \( L \) can be defined by a left/right-linear grammar.