

CS_313 High Integrity Systems/ CS_M13 Critical Systems

Course Notes
Additional Material
Chapter 2: SPARK Ada

Anton Setzer
Dept. of Computer Science, Swansea University

[http://www.cs.swan.ac.uk/~csetzer/lectures/
critsys/14/index.html](http://www.cs.swan.ac.uk/~csetzer/lectures/critsys/14/index.html)

November 23, 2014

Not Updated to Ada 2012/SPARK Ada 2014

- ▶ These slides have not been updated yet to Ada 2012 and SPARK Ada 2014.

- 2 (a) Introduction into Ada
- 2 (b) Architecture of SPARK Ada
- 2 (c) Language Restrictions in SPARK Ada
- 2 (d) Data Flow Analysis
- 2 (e) Information Flow Analysis
- 2 (f) Verification Conditions
- 2 (g) Example: A railway interlocking system

2 (a) Introduction into Ada

2 (b) Architecture of SPARK Ada

2 (c) Language Restrictions in SPARK Ada

2 (d) Data Flow Analysis

2 (e) Information Flow Analysis

2 (f) Verification Conditions

2 (g) Example: A railway interlocking system

Variant Records

- ▶ Variant record means that we have a record, s.t. the type of one field depends on the value of some other type.

- ▶ Example:

```
type Gender is (Male, Female);
```

```
type Person(Sex: Gender:= Female) is  
  record
```

```
    Birth: Date;
```

```
    case Sex is
```

```
      when Male =>
```

```
        Bearded: Boolean;
```

```
      when Female =>
```

```
        Children: Integer;
```

```
    end case;
```

```
  end record;
```

Variant Records

- ▶ In the above example the type Gender is defined as a type having two elements, namely Male and Female.
- ▶ Person is a type, which has a field Sex, Birth, and depending on the field Sex either a field Bearded or a field Children.
- ▶ By default, Person.Gender = Female.
- ▶ We can have elements of type Person and of type Person(Male).
 - ▶ If John: Person(Male), then John.Sex=Male.

Variant Records

- ▶ Whether the field of a variant record accessed is in the variant used **cannot** always **be checked at compile time**.
 - ▶ For instance, if we have
 - a: Person ,
 - a code which accesses
 - a.Bearded
 - compiles, even if it is clear that
 - a.Sex=Female .
 - ▶ But this will cause a run time error.
 - ▶ In case of
 - a: Person(Female) ,
 - a warning is issued at compile time if
 - a.Bearded
 - is accessed.

Example

(For simplicity Date = Integer)

```
John: Person(Male);
```

```
Tom : Person;
```

begin

```
John:= (Male,1963,False);
```

```
-- John.Gender:= Female; -- would cause compile error
```

```
Tom:= (Male, 1965,False);
```

```
Tom.Children := 5; -- Compiles okay but runtime error.
```

```
-- Tom.Sex := Female; -- would cause compile error
```


Variant Records

- ▶ Variant records are a restricted form of **dependent types** (see module on interactive theorem proving).
 - ▶ In **dependent type theory**, as introduced there, such kind of constructs can be used in a **type safe way**.

Object Orientation in Ada

- ▶ Object orientation in Ada consists of
 - ▶ Tagged types,
 - ▶ Class-wide types with dynamic dispatch.

Tagged Types

- ▶ Record types can be extended.
 - ▶ But only if they had been declared to be **tagged**.
 - ▶ Tagged means that each variable is associated with a tag which identifies which type it belongs to.
 - ▶ This is necessary in case we have a class-wide type (see below) to decide which instance of a function is used.
 - ▶ We might define a function which takes an element of one type and a function which takes as argument an element of an extended type.

Example

type Student **is tagged**

record

StudentNumber : Integer;

Age : Integer;

end record;

type Swansea_Student **is new** Student **with null record;**

-- We extend Student but without adding a new component

-- We could have extended it by a new field as well.

Example

- ▶ Swansea_Student is a subtype of Student.
- ▶ Any function having as argument Student can be applied to a Swansea_Student as well.
- ▶ We can override a function for Student by a function with argument Swansea_Student.
- ▶ Note that which function to be chosen can be decided at compile time, since it only depends on the (fixed) type of the argument.

Class-Wide Types

- ▶ Associated with a tagged type such as `Student` above is as well a Class-wide type.
 - ▶ Denoted by `Student'Class`.
- ▶ An element of `Swansea_Student` is not an element of `Student`, but can be converted into an element of `Student` as follows
`A : Swansea_Student := ...`
`B : Student = Student(A);`
- ▶ However an element of `Swansea_Student` is an element of `Student'Class`:
`C : Student'Class = A;`

Dynamic Dispatch

- ▶ Assume an element $A : \text{Student}'\text{Class}$
- ▶ Assume a function
function $f (X : \text{Student})$ return ..
- ▶ Assume this function is overridden for `Swansea_Student`:
function $f (X : \text{Swansea_Student})$ return ..
 - ▶ Without this function the function
function $f (X : \text{Student})$ return ..
would be applicable to $X : \text{Swansea_Student}$ as well.
Since it is overridden, the new function is the one to be applied.

Dynamic Dispatch

- ▶ We can apply f to $A : \text{Student}'\text{Class}$.
 - ▶ If A was originally an element of `Student`, the first version of the function is applied.
 - ▶ If A was originally an element of `Swansea_Student`, the second version of the function is applied.
 - ▶ At compile time it is usually not known, which of the two cases applies, therefore the decision which function to choose depends on the **tag** of A .
 - ▶ The tag tells which type it originally belongs to.
 - ▶ This is called dynamic dispatch or late binding.

Class-Wide Types and Java/C++

- ▶ In Java we could say we have only class-wide types.
- ▶ In C++ we have as well only class-wide types, but one can control subtyping by using the keyword **virtual**:
 - ▶ Only **virtual** methods have late binding.
 - ▶ Only virtual methods can be overridden.

Class-Wide Types

- ▶ Problem of inheritance: properties are inherited remotely, which makes it difficult to verify programs.
 - ▶ If one has a class-wide type A with subtype B , and two different functions $f(x:A)$ and $f(x:B)$, then one
 - ▶ might expect that a call of $f(a)$ for $a:A$ refers to the first definition,
 - ▶ but in fact, if $a:B$ it will refer to the second definition.
 - ▶ That redefinition could have been done by a different programmer in a different area of the code.
- ▶ However elements of a subtype in the sense of the restriction of the range of a type (e.g. Integer restricted to $0 \dots 20$) can be assigned to elements of the full type.

Object-Orientation in Ada

- ▶ Ada's concept of object-orientation is restricted.
 - ▶ Ada allows only to form record types, and class-wide types.
 - ▶ So instead of
 - ▶ having a method f of a class C with parameters $x_1:A_1, \dots, x_n:A_n$, and then writing $O.f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ for a method call for object $O: C$,
 - ▶ one has to introduce a polymorphic function f with arguments $X: C'Class, x_1:A_1, \dots, x_n:A_n$, and then to write $f(O, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ for the call of this function.

Object-Orientation in Ada

- ▶ **Disadvantage:** The definition of the functions can be defined completely separated from the definition of the class.
- ▶ **Advantage:** More flexibility since one doesn't have to decide for a function, to which object it belongs to.

2 (a) Introduction into Ada

2 (b) Architecture of SPARK Ada

2 (c) Language Restrictions in SPARK Ada

2 (d) Data Flow Analysis

2 (e) Information Flow Analysis

2 (f) Verification Conditions

2 (g) Example: A railway interlocking system

No Additional Material

For this subsection no additional material has been added yet.

2 (a) Introduction into Ada

2 (b) Architecture of SPARK Ada

2 (c) Language Restrictions in SPARK Ada

2 (d) Data Flow Analysis

2 (e) Information Flow Analysis

2 (f) Verification Conditions

2 (g) Example: A railway interlocking system

SPARK Ada Concepts

Details about restrictions on subtyping in SPARK Ada.

- ▶ No derived types (essentially a new name for an existing type or a subrange for an existing type).
- ▶ No type extension (extension of a record by adding further components).
- ▶ No class-wide types (see slides on object-orientation in Subsection a). Therefore no **late binding** (dynamic dispatch, called dynamic dispatching in Ada).

2 (a) Introduction into Ada

2 (b) Architecture of SPARK Ada

2 (c) Language Restrictions in SPARK Ada

2 (d) Data Flow Analysis

2 (e) Information Flow Analysis

2 (f) Verification Conditions

2 (g) Example: A railway interlocking system

No Additional Material

For this subsection no additional material has been added yet.

2 (a) Introduction into Ada

2 (b) Architecture of SPARK Ada

2 (c) Language Restrictions in SPARK Ada

2 (d) Data Flow Analysis

2 (e) Information Flow Analysis

2 (f) Verification Conditions

2 (g) Example: A railway interlocking system

No Additional Material

For this subsection no additional material has been added yet.

2 (a) Introduction into Ada

2 (b) Architecture of SPARK Ada

2 (c) Language Restrictions in SPARK Ada

2 (d) Data Flow Analysis

2 (e) Information Flow Analysis

2 (f) Verification Conditions

2 (g) Example: A railway interlocking system

Arrays

Many practical examples involve arrays.

- ▶ E.g. a lift controller might have as one data structure an array

Door_Status: **array** (Floor_Index) **of** (Open,Closed);

where

- ▶ Floor_Index is an index set of floor numbers (e.g. 1 .. 10)
- ▶ and Door_Status(I) determines whether the door in the building on floor I is open or closed,
 - ▶ In Ada one writes A(I) for the ith element of array A.

Arrays

Door_Status: array (Floor_Index) of Door_Status_Type;
 type Door_Status_Type is (Open,Closed);

- ▶ Problem: correctness conditions involve quantification:
 - ▶ E.g. the condition that only the door at the current position (say Lift_Position) of the lift is open, is expressed by the formula:

$$\forall I : \text{Floor_Index}. (I \neq \text{Lift_Position} \rightarrow \text{Door_Status}(I) = \text{Closed}) .$$

- ▶ This makes it almost impossible to reason automatically about such conditions.

Arrays

- ▶ In simple cases, we can solve such problems by using array formulae.
- ▶ Notation: If A is an array, then
 - ▶ $C := A[I \Rightarrow B]$ stands for the array, in which the value I is updated to B . Therefore
 - ▶ $C(I) = B$,
 - ▶ and for $J \neq I$, $C(J) = A(J)$.
 - ▶ Similarly $D := A[I \Rightarrow B, J \Rightarrow C]$ is the array, in which I is updated to B , J is updated to C :
 - ▶ $D(I) = B$,
 - ▶ $D(J) = C$,
 - ▶ $D(K) = A(K)$ otherwise.

Example

- ▶ The correctness for a procedure which swaps elements $A(I)$ and $A(J)$ is expressed as follows:

```

type Index is range 1 .. 10;
type Atype is array(Index) of Integer;

procedure Swap_Elements(I,J: in Index;
                        A: in out Atype)
-- # derives A from A,I,J;
-- # post A = A~[I => A~(J); J => A~(I)];
is
    Temp: Integer;
begin
    Temp: = A(I); A(I) := A(J); A(J) := Temp;
end Swap_Elements;
  
```

Example

- ▶ $A = A \sim [I \Rightarrow A \sim (J); J \Rightarrow A \sim (I)];$

Expresses that

- ▶ $A(I)$ is the previous value of $A(J)$,
 - ▶ $A(J)$ is the previous value of $A(I)$,
 - ▶ $A(K)$ is unchanged otherwise.
- ▶ In the above example, as for many simple examples, the correctness can be shown automatically by the simplifier.

More Complicated Example

- ▶ In the lift controller example, one can express the fact that, w.r.t. to array `Floor`, exactly the door at `Lift_Position` is open, as follows:

```
-- # post Closed_Lift = Door_Type'(Index=> Closed)
-- #      and
-- #      Floor = Closed_Lift[Lift_Position => Open];
```

- ▶ Here

```
Floor_Type'(Index=> Closed)
```

is the Ada notation for the array `A` of type `Floor_Type`, in which for all `I:Index` we have `A(I) = Closed`.

More Complicated Example

- ▶ Unfortunately, with this version, the current version of SPARK Ada doesn't succeed in automatically proving the correctness even of a simple function which moves the lift from one floor to the other (and opens and closes the doors appropriately).

Quantification

- ▶ It is usually too complicated to express properties by using array formulae, and one has to use quantifiers instead.

2 (a) Introduction into Ada

2 (b) Architecture of SPARK Ada

2 (c) Language Restrictions in SPARK Ada

2 (d) Data Flow Analysis

2 (e) Information Flow Analysis

2 (f) Verification Conditions

2 (g) Example: A railway interlocking system

No Additional Material

For this subsection no additional material has been added yet.