

# CS\_313 High Integrity Systems/ CS\_M13 Critical Systems

Course Notes  
Additional Material  
Chapter 2: SPARK Ada

Anton Setzer  
Dept. of Computer Science, Swansea University

<http://www.cs.swan.ac.uk/~csetzer/lectures/critsys/14/index.html>

November 23, 2014

Not Updated to Ada 2012/SPARK Ada 2014

- ▶ These slides have not been updated yet to Ada 2012 and SPARK Ada 2014.

- 2 (a) Introduction into Ada
- 2 (b) Architecture of SPARK Ada
- 2 (c) Language Restrictions in SPARK Ada
- 2 (d) Data Flow Analysis
- 2 (e) Information Flow Analysis
- 2 (f) Verification Conditions
- 2 (g) Example: A railway interlocking system

- 2 (a) Introduction into Ada
- 2 (b) Architecture of SPARK Ada
- 2 (c) Language Restrictions in SPARK Ada
- 2 (d) Data Flow Analysis
- 2 (e) Information Flow Analysis
- 2 (f) Verification Conditions
- 2 (g) Example: A railway interlocking system

## Variant Records

- ▶ Variant record means that we have a record, s.t. the type of one field depends on the value of some other type.
- ▶ Example:  
**type** Gender **is** (Male, Female);  
**type** Person(Sex: Gender:= Female) **is record**  
     Birth: Date;  
     **case** Sex **is**  
         **when** Male =>  
             Bearded: Boolean;  
         **when** Female =>  
             Children: Integer;  
     **end case;**  
**end record;**

## Variant Records

- ▶ Whether the field of a variant record accessed is in the variant used **cannot** always **be checked at compile time**.
  - ▶ For instance, if we have  
     a: Person ,  
     a code which accesses  
     a.Bearded  
     compiles, even if it is clear that  
     a.Sex=Female .
  - ▶ But this will cause a run time error.
  - ▶ In case of  
     a: Person(Female) ,  
     a warning is issued at compile time if  
     a.Bearded  
     is accessed.

## Variant Records

- ▶ In the above example the type Gender is defined as a type having two elements, namely Male and Female.
- ▶ Person is a type, which has a field Sex, Birth, and depending on the field Sex either a field Bearded or a field Children.
- ▶ By default, Person.Gender = Female.
- ▶ We can have elements of type Person and of type Person(Male).
  - ▶ If John: Person(Male), then John.Sex=Male.

## Example

(For simplicity Date = Integer)

John: Person(Male);

Tom : Person;

**begin**

    John:= (Male,1963,False);

    -- John.Gender:= Female; -- would cause compile error

    Tom:= (Male, 1965,False);

    Tom.Children := 5; -- Compiles okay but runtime error.

    -- Tom.Sex := Female; -- would cause compile error

## Variant Records

- ▶ Variant records are a restricted form of **dependent types** (see module on interactive theorem proving).
  - ▶ In **dependent type theory**, as introduced there, such kind of constructs can be used in a **type safe way**.

## Tagged Types

- ▶ Record types can be extended.
  - ▶ But only if they had been declared to be **tagged**.
  - ▶ Tagged means that each variable is associated with a tag which identifies which type it belongs to.
  - ▶ This is necessary in case we have a class-wide type (see below) to decide which instance of a function is used.
    - ▶ We might define a function which takes an element of one type and a function which takes as argument an element of an extended type.

## Object Orientation in Ada

- ▶ Object orientation in Ada consists of
  - ▶ Tagged types,
  - ▶ Class-wide types with dynamic dispatch.

## Example

```
type Student is tagged
record
```

```
  StudentNumber : Integer;
```

```
  Age           : Integer;
```

```
end record;
```

```
type Swansea_Student is new Student with null record;
```

```
-- We extend Student but without adding a new component
```

```
-- We could have extended it by a new field as well.
```

## Example

- ▶ Swansea\_Student is a subtype of Student.
- ▶ Any function having as argument Student can be applied to a Swansea\_Student as well.
- ▶ We can override a function for Student by a function with argument Swansea\_Student.
- ▶ Note that which function to be chosen can be decided at compile time, since it only depends on the (fixed) type of the argument.

## Dynamic Dispatch

- ▶ Assume an element  $A : \text{Student}'\text{Class}$
- ▶ Assume a function  
**function**  $f(X : \text{Student})$  return ..
- ▶ Assume this function is overridden for Swansea\_Student:  
**function**  $f(X : \text{Swansea\_Student})$  return ..
  - ▶ Without this function the function  
**function**  $f(X : \text{Student})$  return ..  
would be applicable to  $X : \text{Swansea\_Student}$  as well.  
Since it is overridden, the new function is the one to be applied.

## Class-Wide Types

- ▶ Associated with a tagged type such as Student above is as well a Class-wide type.
  - ▶ Denoted by Student'Class.
- ▶ An element of Swansea\_Student is not an element of Student, but can be converted into an element of Student as follows  
 $A : \text{Swansea\_Student} := \dots$   
 $B : \text{Student} = \text{Student}(A);$
- ▶ However an element of Swansea\_Student is an element of Student'Class:  
 $C : \text{Student}'\text{Class} = A;$

## Dynamic Dispatch

- ▶ We can apply  $f$  to  $A : \text{Student}'\text{Class}$ .
  - ▶ If  $A$  was originally an element of Student, the first version of the function is applied.
  - ▶ If  $A$  was originally an element of Swansea\_Student, the second version of the function is applied.
  - ▶ At compile time it is usually not known, which of the two cases applies, therefore the decision which function to choose depends on the **tag** of  $A$ .
    - ▶ The tag tells which type it originally belongs to.
  - ▶ This is called dynamic dispatch or late binding.

## Class-Wide Types and Java/C++

- ▶ In Java we could say we have only class-wide types.
- ▶ In C++ we have as well only class-wide types, but one can control subtyping by using the keyword **virtual**:
  - ▶ Only **virtual** methods have late binding.
  - ▶ Only virtual methods can be overridden.

## Object-Orientation in Ada

- ▶ Ada's concept of object-orientation is restricted.
  - ▶ Ada allows only to form record types, and class-wide types.
  - ▶ So instead of
    - ▶ having a method  $f$  of a class  $C$  with parameters  $x_1:A_1, \dots, x_n:A_n$ , and then writing  $O.f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  for a method call for object  $O$ :  $C$ ,
    - ▶ one has to introduce a polymorphic function  $f$  with arguments  $X$ :  $C'Class, x_1:A_1, \dots, x_n:A_n$ , and then to write  $f(O, x_1, \dots, x_n)$  for the call of this function.

## Class-Wide Types

- ▶ Problem of inheritance: properties are inherited remotely, which makes it difficult to verify programs.
  - ▶ If one has a class-wide type  $A$  with subtype  $B$ , and two different functions  $f(x:A)$  and  $f(x:B)$ , then one
    - ▶ might expect that a call of  $f(a)$  for  $a:A$  refers to the first definition,
    - ▶ but in fact, if  $a:B$  it will refer to the second definition.
    - ▶ That redefinition could have been done by a different programmer in a different area of the code.
- ▶ However elements of a subtype in the sense of the restriction of the range of a type (e.g. Integer restricted to  $0 \dots 20$ ) can be assigned to elements of the full type.

## Object-Orientation in Ada

- ▶ **Disadvantage**: The definition of the functions can be defined completely separated from the definition of the class.
- ▶ **Advantage**: More flexibility since one doesn't have to decide for a function, to which object it belongs to.

2 (a) Introduction into Ada

2 (b) Architecture of SPARK Ada

2 (c) Language Restrictions in SPARK Ada

2 (d) Data Flow Analysis

2 (e) Information Flow Analysis

2 (f) Verification Conditions

2 (g) Example: A railway interlocking system

2 (a) Introduction into Ada

2 (b) Architecture of SPARK Ada

2 (c) Language Restrictions in SPARK Ada

2 (d) Data Flow Analysis

2 (e) Information Flow Analysis

2 (f) Verification Conditions

2 (g) Example: A railway interlocking system

## No Additional Material

For this subsection no additional material has been added yet.

## SPARK Ada Concepts

### Details about restrictions on subtyping in SPARK Ada.

- ▶ No derived types (essentially a new name for an existing type or a subrange for an existing type).
- ▶ No type extension (extension of a record by adding further components).
- ▶ No class-wide types (see slides on object-orientation in Subsection a). Therefore no **late binding** (dynamic dispatch, called dynamic dispatching in Ada).

2 (a) Introduction into Ada

2 (b) Architecture of SPARK Ada

2 (c) Language Restrictions in SPARK Ada

**2 (d) Data Flow Analysis**

2 (e) Information Flow Analysis

2 (f) Verification Conditions

2 (g) Example: A railway interlocking system

2 (a) Introduction into Ada

2 (b) Architecture of SPARK Ada

2 (c) Language Restrictions in SPARK Ada

2 (d) Data Flow Analysis

**2 (e) Information Flow Analysis**

2 (f) Verification Conditions

2 (g) Example: A railway interlocking system

## No Additional Material

For this subsection no additional material has been added yet.

## No Additional Material

For this subsection no additional material has been added yet.

2 (a) Introduction into Ada

2 (b) Architecture of SPARK Ada

2 (c) Language Restrictions in SPARK Ada

2 (d) Data Flow Analysis

2 (e) Information Flow Analysis

2 (f) Verification Conditions

2 (g) Example: A railway interlocking system

## Arrays

`Door_Status: array (Floor_Index) of Door_Status_Type;`  
`type Door_Status_Type is (Open,Closed);`

- ▶ Problem: correctness conditions involve quantification:
  - ▶ E.g. the condition that only the door at the current position (say `Lift_Position`) of the lift is open, is expressed by the formula:

$$\forall I : \text{Floor\_Index.}(I \neq \text{Lift\_Position} \rightarrow \text{Door\_Status}(I) = \text{Closed}) .$$

- ▶ This makes it almost impossible to reason automatically about such conditions.

## Arrays

Many practical examples involve arrays.

- ▶ E.g. a lift controller might have as one data structure an array

`Door_Status: array (Floor_Index) of (Open,Closed);`

where

- ▶ `Floor_Index` is an index set of floor numbers (e.g. 1 .. 10)
- ▶ and `Door_Status(I)` determines whether the door in the building on floor `I` is open or closed,
  - ▶ In Ada one writes `A(I)` for the `i`th element of array `A`.

## Arrays

- ▶ In simple cases, we can solve such problems by using array formulae.
- ▶ Notation: If `A` is an array, then
  - ▶ `C := A[I => B]` stands for the array, in which the value `I` is updated to `B`. Therefore
    - ▶ `C(I) = B`,
    - ▶ and for `J ≠ I`, `C(J) = A(J)`.
  - ▶ Similarly `D := A[I => B, J => C]` is the array, in which `I` is updated to `B`, `J` is updated to `C`:
    - ▶ `D(I) = B`,
    - ▶ `D(J) = C`,
    - ▶ `D(K) = A(K)` otherwise.



## Example

- ▶ The correctness for a procedure which swaps elements  $A(I)$  and  $A(J)$  is expressed as follows:

```

type Index is range 1 .. 10;
type Atype is array(Index) of Integer;

procedure Swap_Elements(I,J: in Index;
                        A: in out Atype)
-- # derives A from A,I,J;
-- # post A = A~[I => A~(J); J => A~(I)];
is
  Temp: Integer;
begin
  Temp := A(I); A(I) := A(J); A(J) := Temp;
end Swap_Elements;

```

## More Complicated Example

- ▶ In the lift controller example, one can express the fact that, w.r.t. to array `Floor`, exactly the door at `Lift_Position` is open, as follows:

```

-- # post Closed_Lift = Door_Type'(Index=> Closed)
-- # and
-- # Floor = Closed_Lift[Lift_Position => Open];

```

- ▶ Here `Floor_Type'(Index=> Closed)` is the Ada notation for the array `A` of type `Floor_Type`, in which for all `I:Index` we have `A(I) = Closed`.

## Example

- ▶  $A = A\sim[I \Rightarrow A\sim(J); J \Rightarrow A\sim(I)]$ ;  
Expresses that
  - ▶  $A(I)$  is the previous value of  $A(J)$ ,
  - ▶  $A(J)$  is the previous value of  $A(I)$ ,
  - ▶  $A(K)$  is unchanged otherwise.
- ▶ In the above example, as for many simple examples, the correctness can be shown automatically by the simplifier.

## More Complicated Example

- ▶ Unfortunately, with this version, the current version of SPARK Ada doesn't succeed in automatically proving the correctness even of a simple function which moves the lift from one floor to the other (and opens and closes the doors appropriately).

## Quantification

- It is usually too complicated to express properties by using array formulae, and one has to use quantifiers instead.

- 2 (a) Introduction into Ada
- 2 (b) Architecture of SPARK Ada
- 2 (c) Language Restrictions in SPARK Ada
- 2 (d) Data Flow Analysis
- 2 (e) Information Flow Analysis
- 2 (f) Verification Conditions
- 2 (g) Example: A railway interlocking system

## No Additional Material

For this subsection no additional material has been added yet.